# Synthetic Studies on Maduropeptin Chromophore 2. Synthesis of the Madurosamine Aryl Amide and the C1'-C9' Fragments 

K. C. Nicolaou*, Kazunori Koide, Jinyou Xu and Mark H. Izraelewicz<br>Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037 and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, California 92093


#### Abstract

A retrosynthetic analysis (Scheme 1) of maduropeptin chromophore artifact 1 defined compounds 2 and 3 as required building blocks. The construction of 2 was achieved starting from the 2,5-dimethyl derived aromatic acid 8 and the D-serine derived $\delta$-lactone 12 (Scheme 2), whereas the synthesis of 3 utilized an Evans's aldol condensation reaction between aldehyde 13 and chiral auxiliary 14 (Scheme 3). © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.


In the preceding paper ${ }^{1}$, we described model studies directed towards the construction of the enediyne core of the maduropeptin artifact 1 . In this letter, we describe the synthesis of fragments 2 and 3 , required for the projected total synthesis of 1 (Scheme 1). As indicated in the Scheme 1, these building blocks ( 2 and 3 ) were traced, retrosynthetically, back to $D$-serine 4 and aryl aldehyde 5 , respectively.

1: artifact of maduropeptin chromophore


Scheme 1. Structures of maduropeptin chromophore artifact compound 1, maduroamine derivative 2, and C1'-C9' carboxylic acid fragment 3.

The synthesis of the protected madurosamine aryl amide fragment 2 is shown in Scheme 2. Thus, treatment of commercially available 2,5 -dimethyl phenol 6 with $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ and dichloromethyl methyl ether $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CHOMe}\right)$ afforded aryl aldehyde 7 in $38 \%$ yield. Oxidation of 7 with $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ provided carboxylic acid 8 in $41 \%$ yield.

The second required fragment, madurosamine derivative 12, was constructed as summarized in Scheme 2. Thus, the D -serine derived intermediate $9^{2,3}$ reacted smoothly with lithiumdimethyl cuprate to afford, stereoselectively, enoate 10 in $71 \%$ yield. ${ }^{4}$ Dihydroxylation of 10 with catalytic $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and N -methylmorpholine N -oxide (NMO) in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO} /{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ proceeded diastereoselectively to afford, in $71 \%$ yield, diol 11 as the major product (ca 12:1 ratio with its diastereoisomer). The observed stereoselectivity in this reaction is presumably a consequence of both steric and electronic effects and follows Kishi's pioneering work in this field. ${ }^{5}$ Interestingly, switching to $\mathrm{MeCN} /{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as the solvent for this dihydroxylation reaction led to reduced stereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{ca} 3: 1$ in favor of 11). The stereochemistry of compound 11 was confirmed by NOE experiments on lactone 12 (see Scheme 2) which was obtained from 11 on exposure to camphorsulfonic acid (CSA) in MeOH ( $84 \%$ yield). Removal of the Boc group from 12 (TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ), followed by coupling with carboxylic acid 8 in the presence of 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate (HOBT) and N -methylmorpholine (NMM), and subsequent silylation (TESOTf, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ), furnished the targeted intermediate 2 in $41 \%$ overall yield from 12.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of madurosamine lactone derivative 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CHOMe}$ ( 1.8 equiv), $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ ( 2.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-50 \rightarrow 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $38 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$ (3 equiv), $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (3 equiv), $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHMe}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 41 \%$; (c) 1.2 equiv of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CuLi}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 71 \%$; (d) 0.1 equiv of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, 1.5$ equiv of N -methylmorpholine N -oxide, acetone:' $\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4:1:1), $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3$ days, 67\% (diastereoselectivity ca 12:1); (e) 3 mol\% of camphorsulfonic acid (CSA), MeOH, $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$ ( 1 ) (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}:$ TFA (8:1), $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 10 h (II) 8, 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodilmide hydrochloride (EDC), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate (HOBT), $N$-methylmorphline (NMM) (iII)
 (2.9\%); C-3"-Me/C-5"-H (4.2\%).

The synthesis of the aryl fragment 3 is summarized in Scheme 3. Thus, aryl aldehyde 5, prepared according to a literature procedure from 3-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzaldehyde, ${ }^{6}$ was treated with benzyl bromide in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to afford benzyl ether 13 in $93 \%$ yield. Reaction of 13 with the boron enolate derived from Evans's oxazolidinone $14^{7}$ and ${ }^{n} B_{u_{2}}$ BOTf afforded 15 diastereoselectively, and in $95 \%$ yield. Protection of the hydroxy group in 15 as a TES ether (TESCl, imidazole, $90 \%$ yield), followed by exposure to Raney Ni , resulted in desulfurization with concomitant debenzylation, furnishing compound 17 ( $78 \%$ yield). Hydrolysis of the amide group in 17 was accomplished by the action of LiOH in the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in THF:MeOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ leading to the desired carboxylic acid 3 in $81 \%$ yield.

The described chemistry in this and the preceding paper' may facilitate the total synthesis of target 1 and related systems. ${ }^{8}$
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Scheme 3. Synthesis of C1'-C9' carboxylic acid tragment 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) 1.5 equiv of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1.1$ equlv of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{DMF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; (b) $14,1.0$ equiv of ${ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}, 1.1$ equiv of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78 \rightarrow 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~min}$; then 1.0 equiv of $13,-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~min}$ then $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathbf{9 5 \%}$; (c) 2.0 equiv of TESCI, 2.5 equiv of imidazole, THF, $\mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{2 5}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathbf{1} \mathrm{h}, \mathbf{9 0 \%}$; (d) excess Raney Ni, acetone:EtOH (1:1.5), $\Delta, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$; (e) 4.0 equiv of LiOH, 6.0 equiv of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, THF:MeOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 81 \%$. $\mathrm{Bn}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$, TES $=\mathrm{SiEt}_{3}$.
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